

THE NEWS IN LONDON.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF A GREAT PROGRAMME OF IRISH LEGISLATION.

THE MINISTRY TO STAKE ITS ALL ON A SWEEPING MEASURE OF LAND PURCHASE—CATHOLIC ENDOWMENT AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT PERHAPS TO BE ADDED—THE PARNELL COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS—LITERARY.

(By Cable to the Tribune.)
London, Nov. 2.—Rumors have long prevailed that the Government would tackle the Irish Land question next session. They are confirmed in today's "Standard." It is the dual ownership of land in Ireland which gives rise to so much of recent trouble. The legislature created it, and the legislature is to be asked to terminate it. The Cabinet will, without delay, says the Tory organ, attempt the problem of releasing both from a connection hateful to them and mischievous to the State. There is no doubt, though "The Standard" does not say so, that the Cabinet, or some of the Cabinet, has for some time past been engaged in this problem. There were speculations about Mr. Goschen's visit to Ireland in September and October. Nobody who met him at any of the houses he visited doubted what his errand was. Wherever he went, local people were invited to meet him—those who were supposed to know most about the land question. He discussed the land question fully with them, and discussed hardly any thing else. The fruits of these visits and interviews are now ripening. The financial genius which carried through the conversion of consols will be applied to the conversion of dual into single ownership of Irish land. The question is, how to make the tenant the undivided master of his holding, while affording reasonable compensation to the present nominal owner, without imposing on the English taxpayer an unreasonable and dangerous liability. This is what Mr. Gladstone, in 1886, tried. He failed disastrously. Nothing did more to wreck Home Rule than the coupling it with Land Purchase. Mr. Gladstone declared the two measures to be inseparable, and then threw over Land Purchase to lighten the ship when the storm grew heavy; but too late. Mr. Chamberlain has since launched a scheme of his own, but could not get it seriously considered. Lord Randolph Churchill proposed another, so late as last August, in Birmingham, but that, too, fell flat. Now come Mr. Goschen and his colleagues.

No experiment could be more interesting, none more hazardous. The Ministry can hardly avoid asking its existence on such a measure, and the measure will undoubtedly pledge British credit in order to compensate landlords who are to be bought out. This will be no mere extension of the Ashbourne act, but a bill covering the whole soil of Ireland, perhaps even compulsory upon landlords to sell whenever tenants are willing to buy. The Nation at large is to stand security, says the inspired scribe who this morning makes this momentous disclosure. Nothing could be more explicit. The stake the Government play for is enormous; nothing less, in their belief, than the extinction of the Home Rule cry and the Home Rule party by the pacification of Irish discontent. There is a distinct school which believes that land, not Parliament, is what the Irish people really want. The Government has joined that school. If they will add to their programme such a measure of Catholic Endowment as shall satisfy the Irish Bishops, they will come before Parliament next February with the largest Irish programme yet framed. Mr. Gladstone's not excepted. "The Standard" says nothing on this point, and nothing has lately been heard from any quarter, but Mr. Kilfoyle's hint at the end of last session was not "dy thrown out." The scheme which he had in mind, whatever it was, will not be lightly dropped. Local government in some shape sooner or later be added. Supposing, however, that Ministers put both Land Purchase and Catholic Endowment forward together, they will array against themselves two of the strongest sentiments known to the British mind: hatred of taxation—or of increased liabilities—and hatred of the Pope. They know perfectly what they have to face, but the die is cast and they are going to face it. They might have sat still and lived out their Parliamentary life for three sluggish years. They prefer to risk all, even the allegiance of one section of their own party. One of their shrewdest supporters said the other day that if they attempt constructive legislation they are lost.

But constructive legislation of the gravest and most difficult kind is now to be attempted.

Mr. Davitt's long speech before the Parnell Commission has clearly made an impression on the judges, though not on the public. The audience has been small throughout, and the reports in the papers, "The Times" excepted, brief. Mr. Davitt wound up with an expression of regret for his want of legal skill, and Mr. Justice Hannen said: "Mr. Davitt, your expression of regret for your want of legal skill was not necessary. You have put your arguments with great force and ability, and we are obliged to you for having given us assistance which has been withheld from us by others." It would be difficult to compile more neatly and briefly a well-deserved compliment to Mr. Davitt with a rebuke to Mr. Parnell.

Sir Henry James began his reply in a spirit that indicates his readiness to meet the issues precisely as put by Sir Charles Russell. He is expected to speak for a fortnight, and before he sits down all the world will know just what the case of "The Times" is and just what they will ask the court to decide.

The Gweedore trials have ended, said "The Daily News" on Wednesday, in a collapse. On Thursday the same journal discovered that the sentences were extremely severe, and it attacks the Attorney-General for Ireland for his conduct of the trial. There is, however, no dispute about the facts. Police Inspector Martin, trying to arrest Father McPadden, was set upon by a mob and brutally beaten to death. Coll, convicted as one of the leaders, gave ten years' penal servitude, two others seven years each. All these except Coll pleaded guilty of manslaughter. Others, whose share in the murder was less, got from two to six months. "We deeply regret," says "The Daily News," "that the Judge did not temper justice with mercy." For the ruffians who savagely murdered Inspector Martin this journal has only soft words, but it thinks that the police acted with criminal recklessness, and that Mr. Balfour's conduct was mean and cowardly.

"The Daily News" appears to have made up its mind to push Mr. John Morley as Leader in succession to Mr. Gladstone. Hundreds of thousands, says this Gladstonian organ, look to Mr. Morley for guidance in difficulty, and for encouragement in conflict only less eagerly than they look to Mr. Gladstone himself. This journal, however, almost alone in discussing publicly what shall be done when Mr. Gladstone dies. Mr. Morley meantime has been making another speech, which contains passages in sharp hostility to the new scheme of turning the British Empire into a federation. Other speeches are numerous as usual, including elaborate addresses from such leaders as Lord Hartington and Mr. Goschen. But no speech is now of much importance which does not deal with the new Ministerial programme. Mr. Gladstone's discourse to the workmen of Saltaire avoided for the most part politics, but contained still another appeal from the classes to the masses, and some extremely

remarkable historical views. It contained also a fine eulogy on the great group of American statesmen of the last century, an eulogy on the Irish Parliament from 1700 to 1800 as a better nursery of freedom than the English Parliament, and an eulogy on the France of to-day, as friendly to England, evidence of which he omitted to disclose.

Municipal elections occurred yesterday all over England. Complete returns are not in, but the morning reports show a balance of 17 to the credit of the Liberals. Their most shining success is in Birmingham itself, where in each of the four wards contested politically the Liberals have won. Mr. Austen Chamberlain, his father's son, has been beaten by 11 votes in his attempt to capture a Liberal seat. These are hard days for the Chamberlain family.

Strikes continue, but none on a great scale has occurred or seems probable just yet. The most considerable victory gained this week by workmen is at Glasgow, where the gasworkers demanded both increased wages and reduced hours. The Glasgow gasworkers are run by the city itself, and as all the men who threatened to strike have votes, the City Council gave in. The estimated additional cost of gas to the city is \$100,000 a year.

Sir William Harcourt presented himself this week as a champion of the Salvation Army. The greater freedom allowed to the Army the better, says Sir William. Citizens, who find the streets frequently obstructed by Salvation Army bands and brass bands, may not agree. Perhaps most of them do not. But Sir William, like his leader, is clearly of opinion that the masses must be conciliated.

The echoes of the Brighton election have hardly yet died away. Sir Robert Peel's attack on Lord Hartington and on the Tory ladies, whom he called "filthy witches," has been so strongly condemned that the defeated Home Ruler offers apologies to the latter. Somebody whom Sir Robert calls a "blooming assassin" has written him a threatening letter, and Sir Robert in return threatens to shoot the author unless the police take charge of him. The Liberals say with justice that Tory exultation over the saving of this set shows the extent of their depression and pain before the election.

The new deputy chairman of the London County Council will apparently be Mr. Haggis, an Alderman of Croydon. He is the nominee of the Radical caucus, and the Radicals are strong enough to elect their own man. Next to Mr. Haggis came Mr. George Russell, who might probably have been nominated had he not the other day told his colleagues, who found his anti-music hall speech too long, that they had no manners. This was thought ungracious, even from a first-cousin to the Duke of Bedford. Nothing, however, is yet settled, and the private meeting of the Council yesterday, summoned by the chairman, broke up without voting.

The letter of the American publishers of "The Contemporary Review" to The Tribune, saying that the English publishers had told them that Mr. Gladstone was the author of that famous "Outlandish" article, is reprinted in England, and elicits a direct denial from Messrs. Leister. They elicit a direct denial from Messrs. Leister. They elicit a direct denial from Messrs. Leister.

Mr. Spurgeon has again been heard from, this time on the subject of the Church of England. There are, says Mr. Spurgeon, gracious and goodly men in the Church; yet it is going from bad to worse every day; even celebrating high mass with Bishops looking on; and no man knows how far that pernicious superstition may run. It is the hour for Baptists, cries Mr. Spurgeon. But the Baptists take it quietly, and the Archbishop of Canterbury is still Archbishop of Canterbury.

Mr. Frederic Harrison's discussion in "The Nineteenth Century" of the New Trades-Unionism is interesting, but he generalizes with rashness. The New Trades-Unionism is, according to Mr. Harrison, Socialistic. But the Socialism which has given it this new direction is itself new; it is no longer attacks capital or seeks to redistribute property, but strives to influence opinion and legislation that the social necessities of the masses, and not supply and demand, shall be the basis of every law. He, like Lord Rosebery, looks on the late dock strike as epoch-making, and finds the secret of its success in the fact that skilled workmen for the first time struck in support of unskilled. It is this new departure from which Mr. Harrison infers the near approach of the industrial millennium. His article is much more remarkable for eloquent enthusiasm than for cool judgment.

"The New Review" publishes an interesting article on "Anonymity in Journalism," by Mr. Tighe Hopkins, embodying contributions from Mr. Gladstone, Mr. McCarthy, Mr. Sala and others who have some practical knowledge of journalism. Mr. Gladstone thinks that all articles should be signed, but no other opinion of weight is quoted on that side. All, or nearly all, journalists defend the existing system, and prefer editorials to be anonymous. None of the London papers care to join in this discussion, or allow their readers to suppose that there can be any doubt that their own method is perfect.

London has been favored with a visit from M. Chautauque, president of the Municipal Council of Paris. Lord Rosebery, as chairman of the London County Council, welcomed him to the Council, who greeted the Frenchman civilly, and invited him to dine and sleep at Mentmore, where a dozen eminent Councilors were asked to meet him. M. Chautauque returned these attentions by a farewell dinner at his hotel on Monday evening. Relations between Paris and London are satisfactory, but M. Chautauque has hardly made out whether the Lord Mayor of London or the Chairman of the County Council be the real head of the metropolis.

Mr. Barnum continues to fill a considerable space in the London press. Each successive step toward the opening of his big show at Olympia is chronicled with admiring minuteness. The arrival of the Furness with her cargo of curiosities took an army of reporters down to Gravesend, and their landing, their journey and their condition were all described; and now I hear of a proposal for a banquet to Mr. Barnum, to precede the first sight of his show for which all London is panting. Various celebrities have been asked to this feast, including Lord Rosebery, Lord Aberdeen, and Lord Charles Grosvenor. Mr. Barnum's levees at the hotel continue to be thronged, and Buffalo Bill is entirely forgotten. G. W. S.

A HAWAIIAN REEL TO BE HANGED.
San Francisco, Nov. 2.—A letter from Honolulu by the steamer Australia states that Albert Levens, one of the leaders in the insurrection last July, has been sentenced to be hanged the first week in December.

The trial of Robert Wilcox, the leader of the insurgents, came to an abrupt termination, as the jurymen expressed a bias before the court. The jury was accordingly dismissed. Application was then made to have Wilcox released on bail, but the question has not yet been decided.

The United States steamer Albatross, which is to sail for San Francisco with Admiral Kimberly, had not left Honolulu a week ago. The Spanish, Trochu and Mohican were also still there.

A BOULANGIST CONFERENCE IN JERSEY.
London, Nov. 2.—A meeting of the Boulangist leaders was held at General Boulanger's home on the island of Jersey, on Thursday, to decide upon the

BURKE AGAIN POINTED OUT.

RECOGNIZED BY ANOTHER WITNESS IN THE CRONIN CASE.

THE COTTAGE.

Chicago, Nov. 2.—Charles J. Carlson, son of the owner of the Carlson cottage, was the first witness in the Cronin case this morning. He testified as follows:

I was sitting with my wife and my father in the house in the rear of No. 1,772 Ashland-ave., in the forenoon some time about March 20, when there was a knock on the door. A man came in and said he wanted to rent the house. My father went to the house with him and showed him around, and he came in again and I made out the receipt for a month's rent in advance. The stranger said that he expected some brothers and a sister from Baltimore, and would keep house with him. He said he would rent in a week or so, when his sister would come from Baltimore. He gave his name as Frank Williams. Subsequently he brought the furniture in, and on April 20, he came again and said he had never seen Williams again. I saw him in the morning.

The witness was here shown a piece of carpet, and identified it as the one he had seen on the parlor floor of the cottage.

Q.—Can you point out Frank Williams in the courtroom? A.—Yes, sir.

Q.—Did you see him in the courtroom? A.—The second one, there (pointing to Martin Crokin).

The witness then proceeded with his testimony, saying that, after receiving the letter from Williams relinquishing the cottage, he entered it through a window on May 19. He said he

The carpet was gone off the floor and it looked as if they had poured some paint on the floor, as if they were trying to cover it. It had not been brushed away. I found a small piece of carpet on the floor, and it looked like blood. There was another piece of the floor, and the furniture, the dresser and washstand, in the center of the room. The door was painted only in the center of the room and on the north side. Toward the south wall there was a patch of all in certain places. I saw several stains on the floor, and they looked like blood. There were papers and a book on the south baseboard, in various places, and some of them were covered with paint. When there were stains still showing, and I saw, as if they had been printed in stocking feet in the hallway and in the room.

The reference to stocking-feet was ordered stricken out by the court. The witness then indicated on a diagram the location of the stains. Kuntze was pointed out to him by counsel for the State, but the witness could not identify him as any one he had ever seen before. During all of the time for which the rent was paid the cottage remained unoccupied. The witness was subjected to a long cross-examination which did not seem to develop any new facts.

He was followed by Johanna Carlson, his mother. She testified that on the Sunday following May 4, when she first went out she noticed spots on the steps, and that both the steps and the walk of the cottage showed the same. She then much tramping up and down, and also that the footprints showed that the persons who made them had been walking in the sand. She thought that Frank Williams and his sister had at last moved in, and that the spots on the steps were only something that had been broken in moving. She then described the interior of the cottage, the paint, the stains on the wall, the furniture, etc. Then, at the request of the State's attorney, she pointed out the man known to her under the name of Frank Williams, if in court, she pointed to Burke and said: "That's him; next to the fellow on the pillow."

The witness told of an unidentified man who came to pay another month's rent about two weeks after the murder. The State believes that this man was Conroy; "The Fox," who has thus far eluded the police.

John Martin, the expressman who hauled the furniture from No. 117 South Clark-st., to the cottage, took the witness stand, and he, too, identified Burke as the one who hired him. He said that he had a friend, a fellow named Conroy, who was communicative with him, telling him many details about the crime. He told Heller that Conroy was the man who acted in the tragedy, and had engaged the truck to Hoffer at first, and then he had told Heller that he had hired the truck for two of the assassins, while the third would be a common baseball bat. Burke was under the impression that Conroy was hired to do the work, and he was going to attend a woman. Four men were waiting in the cottage for him. They listened for the sound of wheels. At last the carriage came, and they saw two men get out. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke about the four taking part in the crime and pounding the door at the same time. The moment the door was down the four rushed in. One of them was Heller, and the other was Conroy. Heller fell heavily to the floor. Burke always declined to say who struck the first blow, and this fact, Mr. Barker says, makes it clear that it was Burke who struck the first blow. He always spoke